106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

PRESIDENT PHILIP:

The regular Session of the 92nd General Assembly will please come to order. And the Senate will stand in recess until the hour of 1 p.m.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senate will please come to order. Will the Members please be at their desks, and will our guests in the gallery please rise? Our prayer today will be given by Senator Brad Burzynski. Senator Burzynski.

SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

(Prayer by Senator Burzynski)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Pledge of Allegiance, by Senator Bill Brady.

SENATOR BRADY:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Brady)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Reading of the Journal. Reading of the Journal.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Journal of Tuesday, May 28th, 2002.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Wendell Jones.

SENATOR W. JONES:

Mr. President, I move that the Journal just read by the Secretary be approved, unless some Senator has additions or corrections to offer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Wendell Jones moves to approve the Journal just read.

Are there any objections? If no objection, then it is so ordered.

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

Senator Jones.

SENATOR W. JONES:

Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the Journal of Wednesday, May 29th, in the year 2002, be postponed, pending arrival of the printed Journal.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Jones moves to postpone the reading of the -- approval of the Journal, pending arrival of the printed transcripts. There being no objection, it is so ordered. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Message from the House by Mr. Rossi, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 314, with House Amendment 1.

We have like Messages on Senate Bill 698, with House Amendment 4; Senate Bill 1635, with House Amendments 1 and 2; Senate Bill 1689, with House Amendment 2; Senate Bill 1934, with House Amendment 1; and Senate Bill 1983, with House Amendments 1 and 2. All passed the House, as amended, May 30th, 2002.

A Message from the House by Mr. Rossi, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to wit:

House Bill 1006, with Senate Amendment 1.

We have like Messages on House Bill 3999, with Senate Amendment 1; House Bill 5652, Amendment 1; House Bill 5996, Amendment 1; and House Bill 6012, Amendment 1.

All nonconcurred in by the House, May 30th, 2002.

A Message from the House by Mr. Rossi, Clerk.

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 1701, with House Amendments 1, 3 and 6.

We have a like Message on Senate Bill 2192, with House Amendment 2, and Senate Bill 2269, with House Amendment No. 1.
All passed the House, as amended, May 30th, 2002.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Introduction of Bills.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Bill 2421, offered by Senator Lauzen.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

The Illinois Information Service requests permission to videotape the proceedings. Is there any objection? If not, the request is granted. We'll now go to page 21 on the Calendar. Bottom of page 21. There will be final action on this order, and it's Secretary's Desk, Concurrence, Senate Bills. We have Senate Bill 1282, by Senator Roskam. Out of the record. Middle of page 22, we have Senate Bill 1880, with a motion to concur. Senator Sieben. Todd Sieben. This is final action on Senate Bill 1880. Madam Secretary, please read the motion.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1880.

Motion filed by Senator Sieben.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Sieben.

SENATOR SIEBEN:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the amendment that the House adds simply replaces the

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

reference to the American Society of Engineers of 1998 to the current and updated standard. Know of no objection or no opposition to this. It is supported by the Illinois Farm Bureau, the Illinois Fertilizer and Chemical Association, Midwest Equipment Dealers Association, John Deere and Company, and Caterpillar. Ask for your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, this is final action, and the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1880. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there's 57 voting Yes, no voting No, no voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1880, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Parker, on Senate Bill 1907. Senator Parker? Concurrence motion. Madam Secretary, please read the motion.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1907.

Motion filed by Senator Parker.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Parker.

SENATOR PARKER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this does is -- when we did a -- an audit of the Envirotest, one of the problems that they had was that there wasn't an outside source. It was all going back to Envirotest on complaints if the car was damaged. What this does is give IEPA -- it really brings them into the process so that a person that has a complaint, if their car is damaged, has another opportunity for a third party to

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

go to for those claims. I would be glad to answer any questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, this is final action, and the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1907. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there's 58 voting Yes, no voting No, no voting Present. The Senate does concur with House Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1907, and the constitutional majority -- having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Page 22. Senate Bill 1930. Senator Burzynski. Madam Secretary, please read the motion.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1930.

Motion filed by Senator Burzynski.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Burzynski.

SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill, as it left here, would allow a school board a one-time opportunity to transfer funds from its Tort Immunity Fund if they were in a self-health-insurance cooperative that had more liability than outstanding claims in order to pay those funds. This amendment that came over from the House makes the bill even better. It refers to the Education Fund, as well as then allows the -- tightens up the time frame for the one-time transfer in an amount not to exceed the amount paid within the two years immediately prior. Be more than happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Is there any discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

I'm sorry, Senator. I didn't understand the purpose of the transfer. This is when there are excess funds in the Tort Immunity Fund? Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Burzynski yields, and Senator Burzynski.

SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you. Senator Hawkinson, what this bill will allow is a school district that was in a self-insurance coop that went bankrupt, it will allow them the one-time transfer of excess tort immunity funds to pay for their outstanding liability for that cooperative.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

And -- and what's the nature of the liability that it's -- that is being paid by the tort immunity funds?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Burzynski.

SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you. Their health insurance claims. For that purpose only.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Further discussion? Further discussion? If -- if not, this is final action, and the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1930. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there's 58 voting Yes, no voting No, no voting Present. Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1930, and a constitutional majority -- and receiving the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Bottom

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

of page 22 is Senate Bill 1936. Senator Roskam. Concurrence motion with... Out of the record. Will go back on the record. Bottom of page 22 is Senate Bill 1936. Senator Roskam. Madam Secretary, please read the motion.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1936.

Motion filed by Senator Roskam.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Roskam.

SENATOR ROSKAM:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'm going on memory of this. I don't have my analysis in front of me, but the underlying bill had to do with the ability of somebody to be alongside with a -- with a FOID Card and so forth. I'll explain that in detail if we need to, but the two amendments are as follows. The first amendment says that if -- it's coming. It's coming. And we've got nothing but time. Okay. The first amendment allows...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

We -- we have a point of order by Senator Cullerton. Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Mr. President, this -- this is final passage.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

That's correct.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

And I was going to question the Senator on the underlying bill, which he has indicated, I think, preliminarily, he's not prepared to explain. The -- so I -- I want to make sure we -- he's prepared to explain what the underlying bill is, since this is final passage.

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Very good. Senator Roskam.

SENATOR ROSKAM:

No, Senator, it'll -- it'll come back to me at the right time. Let me explain the two amendments and then we can talk about the underlying bill, as well. The -- the first amendment would allow somebody to have a FOID Card if they're an out-of-state resident if they are employed at a nuclear safety facility. currently happening is there are some folks who do not have the ability to get a FOID Card, an Illinois FOID Card. employed at the various nuclear facilities around Illinois, and it's a problem. So what we're trying to do is -- is just clean The State Police have made it clear that they can this up. promulgate a rule that gets everything up to speed; if somebody loses their employment at the nuclear facility, that the FOID Card would be void. That's Amendment No. 1. Amendment No. 2, it amends the FOID Card Act by creating a new Section which would allow the State Police to submit certified abstracts of somebody's FOID Card history. So, this is more or less in sync with what the Secretary of State does. What we're trying to -- what the Secretary of State does with driver's licenses. What we're trying to avoid is a situation where the State Police have to run around the State deploying staff to testify at various FOID Card hearings. For purposes of legislative intent, the -- the authority that the State Police would have to use this tool would only be limited to FOID Card offenses and questions and not expanded to other areas. I will yield to Senator Cullerton on other questions on the amendment and the underlying bill. So, show time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Discussion? Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Simply, since it's final passage, I have no problems with the

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

two amendments, but I believe that I'm against the underlying bill. If he could remember what it does, I thought if he could explain that, then I could comment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Roskam, are you prepared? Senator Roskam.

SENATOR ROSKAM:

It's back to me now. Senator, here's the underlying bill: It amends the FOID Card Act by creating a new exception to the card requirement, allowing a person who is otherwise eligible for a FOID Card to possess a firearm on a range without a FOID Card if supervised by a FOID Cardholder who's over eighteen or participating in a safety training class. It also provides an exception within the FOID Card Act allowing a person under eighteen to participate in lawful recreation activities with a firearm. My recollection is you did not like this bill before. It's the same bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Yes, thank -- thank you, Mr. President. Just wanted to point out for Members who care that the City of Chicago did testify against the bill, put a witness slip in against the bill. It's an exception to the FOID Card requirement that could be abused. It's -- the bill does not define what a range is. It doesn't indicate what otherwise eligible -- there's no way of telling whether someone would otherwise be eligible for a FOID Card. So, it's just an example of -- of weakening the requirement of the FOID Card rather than strengthening it, and for that reason, some people were opposed to it. And it's still in the bill in its final passage. So, for those people who care about that, they should vote No; otherwise, vote Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

Further discussion? Senator Roskam, you wish to close? SENATOR ROSKAM:

It's tough to beat that close. I'll defer to Senator Cullerton's close.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

This is final action, and the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 and -- Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1936. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there's 41 voted Yes, 17 voting No, no voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1936, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Like to seek leave of the Body to postpone the hearing of Senate Bill 1982. Is leave granted? Thank you. Senate Bill 2155. Senator Burzynski. Madam Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 4 to Senate Bill 2155.

Motion filed by Senator Burzynski.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Burzynski.

SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As this bill left the Senate, it amended the Premises Liability Act. Provided an owner or operator of an off-road riding facility, a facility used for recreational activities or sporting events involving off-highway vehicles, is not criminally liable for any noise emission or subject to nuisance or trespass action based on those emissions. As the bill came back to us, it has two amendments that we're concurring with. One provides that the

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

civil immunity provided under this bill does not apply if there's willful or wanton misconduct outside the normal use of the off-road riding facility. That amendment came in as an agreement with the Trial Lawyers. And House Amendment No. 4 provides that an off-road riding facility who's the recipient of grants under the Recreational Trails of Illinois Act, rather than off-road riding facilities licensed by the Department of Natural Resources, is qualified for immunity. Be more than happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Discussion? Senator Welch. Pardon me. Senator Welch?

SENATOR WELCH:

I just had a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

The bill allows for vehicles to operate without criminal liability. That -- is that assumed that there are noise ordinances or noise -- antinoise laws throughout the State of Illinois that would apply to any facility, or are there some areas that have no ordinances that apply?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Burzynski.

SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you. Senator Welch, it's my understanding that there are probably some areas that don't have noise ordinances; however, if there are areas or they're subject to local ordinances or restrictions, obviously they'd have to comply with those ordinances.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Further discussion? Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This also was a bill that was in Judiciary Committee where the amendments were not the objection but the underlying bill was. Senator Burzynski, could you just tell me again what the underlying bill does, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Burzynski.

SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Yes, Senator. The underlying bill provides that the owner or operator of an off-road riding facility, a facility used for recreational activities or sporting events involving off-highway vehicles, is not criminally liable for any noise emission and is not subject to a nuisance or trespass action based on those emissions. This bill really was initiated by ABATE of Illinois, primarily because Department of Natural Resources -- and as we can see, House Amendment No. 4 changed -- the Recreational Trails of Illinois's Act -- of Illinois Act are providing now for off-road riding facilities. And we wanted to make sure that there was no liability to those operators.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Yes, if I could just indicate that, again, there were people opposed to this bill in the -- in the committee. It grants off-road riding facilities immunity to operate, without criminal liability, nuisance or -- or trespass legal actions related to noise or sound emissions resulting from the normal use of off-road riding facilities. It prevents any court from enjoining the operation of any facility on the basis -- basis of normal use. It's like doing zoning at the State of Illinois for one particular type of industry. I think it sets a bad precedent and would ask for a No vote.

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, Senator Burzynski, to close.

SENATOR BURZYNSKI:

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I would request an affirmative vote on this particular bill. There was only one opposition slip in committee. It was for -- purposes of appearance only, City of Chicago. I would appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WATSON)

This is final action, and the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 4 to Senate Bill 2155. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there's 46 voting Yes, 11 voting No, no voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 4 to Senate Bill 2155, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

...leave of the Body, we're going to go back to Senate Bill 1982. Senator Watson. Madam Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1982.

Motion filed by Senator Watson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you very much, Madam President. House Amendments 1 and 3 become the bill. And this is one in which we've had a lot of discussion about the privatization of the commissary and the dietary positions within the Department of Corrections, and this

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

is effort to try to raise some additional funds that could go to offset the people who are hired in those particular positions. Right now, when you -- when an inmate goes in and purchases something out of the commissary, the maximum allowed -- allowable profit for that item to be sold - and oftentimes it could be cigarettes or it could be tobacco, obviously, candy, shirts, whatever - the maximum allowable profit right now, under State law, is ten percent. I mean, you can't go to a Wal-Mart and get it for that kind of a deal. So, what we're asking in the -- in House Amendment No. 1 and 3 is to mirror what is done at level. The Federal Bureau of Prisons allows for the profit margin to be up to twenty-five percent for all nontobacco products and thirty-five percent for tobacco products. This is at the discretion of the Department of Corrections. We're -- we're just establishing a ceiling here. The Department can do anything up to that. We think that'll raise a significant amount of money that will help offset those costs of those people who work in the dietary and the commissary. And the intention is to -- that money raised will go for the salary and the benefits of those people working in the Department of Corrections at those two positions, both dietary and commissary. We think this will raise up to somewhere -- seven to eight million dollars. I don't think there's a whole lot of opposition to this, hasn't been, and I would appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, this is final action, and the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1982. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. And the voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present. The Senate does concur in House

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1982, and having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Committee Reports.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Weaver, Chairman of the Committee on Rules, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Executive Committee - Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 4090, Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4680, Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 5150 and Senate Amendment No. 2 to Senate Resolution 410; refer to Judiciary Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1917, Conference Committee Report No. 1 to House Bill 5874, Conference Committee Report No. 1 to Senate Bill 39, Motion to Concur with House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1949, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1697 and Motion to Concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1934; refer to Transportation Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1588, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1657 and Motion to Concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1657 and Motion to Concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2164.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Hawkinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Madam President. For purposes of an announcement. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Please state your announcement.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

There will be a Judiciary Committee meeting at 4 o'clock in Room 400. That's Judiciary at 4 o'clock in Room 400.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Klemm, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR KLEMM:

For the purpose of an announcement.

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Proceed.

SENATOR KLEMM:

The Senate Executive Committee will meet at 5 p.m. in Room 212 today. 5 p.m.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Parker, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR PARKER:

For purposes of an announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Proceed.

SENATOR PARKER:

The Senate Transportation Committee will meet in Room 400 - 400 - at 4:30.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Karpiel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?
SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Madam President. For the purpose of an announcement. There will be a Republican Caucus immediately in Senator Philip's Office.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

For the information of the Body, we will stand in recess until the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

Senate will be in order. I'd like to remind the Membership that there'll be a photo at 10 o'clock in the morning. And if there's no other business to come before the Senate... Committee Reports.

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senator Hawkinson, Chair of the Committee on Judiciary, reports Senate Bill 1697 - the Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 Be Approved for Consideration, Senate Bill 1917 - the Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 Be Approved for Consideration, Senate Bill 1934 - the Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 Be Approved for Consideration, Senate Bill 1949 - the Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 Be Approved for Consideration, Senate Bill 39 - the First Conference Committee Report Be Approved for Consideration, and House Bill 5874 - the First Conference Committee Report Be Approved for Consideration.

Senator Parker, Chair of the Committee on Transportation, reports Senate Bill 1588 - the Motion to Concur with House Amendment 3 Be Adopted, Senate Bill 1657 - the Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 Be Adopted, Senate Bill 2614 {sic} (2164) - the Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 Be Adopted.

Senator Klemm, Chair of the Committee on Executive, reports Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 4090, Amendment 1 to House Bill 4680, Amendment 2 to House Bill 5150, Amendment 1 to House Bill 5168 and Amendment 2 to Senate Resolution 410, all Be Adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

Message from the House.

SECRETARY HARRY:

A Message from the House by Mr. Rossi, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 2241, with House Amendment 3.

Passed the House, as amended, May 30th, 2002.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

Is there any further business to come before the Senate? If not, Senator Klemm moves that the Senate stand adjourned until the

106th Legislative Day

May 30, 2002

hour of 10 a.m., Friday, May the 31st, 2002. Don't forget the picture. 10 o'clock. Be prompt, please. Senate stands adjourned.